

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	I	TILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/035,663	10/035,663 11/07/2001		Hendrik J. Schuurman	4-30957A/C1(B/+)	4616
1095	7590 10/05/2004			EXAMINER	
NOVART	_	LECTIMI DRODER	SCHWADRON, RONALD B		
ONE HEAL		LLECTUAL PROPER ZA 430/2	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
EAST HAN	OVER, N	NJ 07936-1080	1644		

DATE MAILED: 10/05/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u></u>								
	7	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/035,663	SCHUURMAN ET AL.					
Office Action Summa	ary I	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Ron Schwadron, Ph.D.	1644					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PER THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COM - Extensions of time may be available under the pafter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of 1. If the period for reply specified above is less that - If NO period for reply is specified above, the material of the period for reply is specified above, the material of the period for reply within the set or extended period any reply received by the Office later than three earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.	MMUNICATION. rovisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a his communication. n thirty (30) days, a reply wi ximum statutory period will a for reply will, by statute, ca months after the mailing da	a). In no event, however, may a repl thin the statutory minimum of thirty (3 apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH use the application to become ABAN	y be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. IS from the mailing date of this communication.					
Status								
1) Responsive to communication	n(s) filed on							
2a) This action is FINAL .								
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 5-11</u> is/are p 4a) Of the above claim(s) 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected 8) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,5-11</u> are subject	is/are withdrawn l. d to.	from consideration.						
Application Papers								
9)☐ The specification is objected to	by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that ar								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) inc 11) The oath or declaration is object			is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a a) All b) Some * c) None 1. Certified copies of the p 2. Certified copies of the p 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Inte	e of: riority documents har riority documents ha opies of the priority rnational Bureau (P	ave been received. ave been received in Appl documents have been rec PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	lication No ceived in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Sumi						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Re 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	view (PTO-948) 449 or PTO/SB/08)		ail Date mal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/035,663

Art Unit: 1644

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-3,5,10 drawn to a composition and kit, classified in class 514, subclass 9.
 - II. Claim 6,11 drawn to a method for treating xenograft rejection, classified in class 514, subclass 885.
 - III. Claims 7-9 drawn to a method of extracorporeal treatment, classified in class 424, subclass 140.1.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the composition could be used to treat allograft rejection or as an immunogen to produce antibodies which bound the agents found in said composition.
- 3. Inventions II and III are different methods. These methods use different process steps and ingredients. Invention III is drawn to a method of extracorporeal treatment, whilst invention II is drawn to a method of treatment with conventional immunosuppressive agents. Therefore they are novel and unobvious in view of each other and are patentably distinct.
- 4. The composition of invention I is not used in the method of invention III.
- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for any group from Groups I-III is not required for any other group from Groups I-III and Groups I-III have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification and divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 1644

6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

- 7. Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed.
- 8. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product

Application/Control Number: 10/035,663

Art Unit: 1644

claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ron Schwadron, Ph.D. whose telephone number is 571 272-0851. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 7:30-6:00 pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina Chan can be reached on 571 272-0841. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ron Schwadron, Ph.D. Primary Examiner Art Unit 1644 NAME OF STATES O

MIL